Case 1:08-cv-00603-AKH Docume	ent 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
RITO ARROYO AND ISABEL ARROYO	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hel 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints	lerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTIC	E OF ADOPTION
instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in a	r Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual ked with an '☑' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), I, below.
Plaintiffs, RITO ARROYO AND ISABEL GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, c	ARROYO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY omplaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. P	ARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

	1.	☑ Plaintiff, RITO A	ARROYO (hereinafter the "Inju	ared Plaintiff'), is an individual an	d a
citizen	of New	York residing at 730	East 236th Street, Bronx, NY	10466	
			(OR)		
	2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent	
		, and brings this c	laim in his (her) capacity as or	f the Estate of	
			, , ,		

Ca	se 1:08-cv-00603-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plaint	g at 730 East 236th Street, Bronx, NY 1 tiff:	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 0466-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff
	RITO ARROYO, and brings t injuries sustained by her husba	his derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff RITO ARROYO. Other:
as a Sgt. at:	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/29/2	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for National Guard
Ĭ	Please be as specific as possible when fi	Illing in the following dates and locations
☐ The World	Trade Center Site	☐ The Barge
Location(s) (a	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
Approximate	bout <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>9/29/2001</u> ; ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for ly <u>19</u> days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
========	======================================	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the
Approximate	bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
□ The Fresh	Kills Landfill	From on or about;
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	\square BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-00603-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	2. 9 1441.
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:08-cv-00603-AKH Document 1 Filed 01/07/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A.

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

Date of oncet

Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.

Date of oncet

	Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 6/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Rashes/Itching, Sores, Lesions; Skin Rash; Sleep Apnea - no CPAP; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 6/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	stive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
Groui dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the ne future suffer the following compensable
\checkmark	Pain and suffering		
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
✓✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
✓	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York January 3, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Rito Arroyo and Isabel Arroyo

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
January 3, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
RITO ARROYO (AND WIFE, ISABEL ARROYO),
Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
Attorney(s) for
EASE TAKE NOTICE:
that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc.,